I		
1	NOAH G. BLECHMAN (State Bar No. 197167) noah.blechman@mcnamaralaw.com AMY S. ROTHMAN (State Bar No. 308133) amy.rothman@mcnamaralaw.com KEREN SCHLANK (State Bar No. 310389) keren.schlank@mcnamaralaw.com MCNAMARA, NEY, BEATTY, SLATTERY, BORGES & AMBACHER LLP 3480 Buskirk Avenue, Suite 250 Pleasant Hill, CA 94523 Telephone: (925) 939-5330 Facsimile: (925) 939-0203 Attorneys for Defendants	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	CITY OF PLEASANTON, DANIEL KUNKEL, ERIC GORA, and MARK SHELDON	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	THE ECTATE OF IOHN DEMING ID.	Case No. C16-02964 CRB
14	THE ESTATE OF JOHN DEMING, JR.; and JOHN DEMING, SR. and LINDA	
15	STASI, individually, and as successors-in- interest and wrongful death heirs to	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER DISMISSING ENTIRE ACTION, WITH
16	DECEDENT JOHN DEMING, JR.,	PREJUDICE
17	Plaintiff,	Assigned to the Hon. Charles R. Breyer
18	VS.	
19	CITY OF PLEASANTON; PLEASANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT;	
20	DANIEL KUNKEL, an individual and officer; ERIC GORA, an individual and	
21	officer; MARK SHELDON, an individual and officer; TYLER PAULSEN, an	
22	individual and officer; BRADLEY PALMQUIST, an individual and officer;	
23	OFFICER BENNETT, an individual and officer; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	
24	Defendant.	
25		
26	TO THE HONORABLE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF	
27	RECORD:	
28	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiffs THE ESTATE OF JOHN DEMING, JR.; and	
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER DISMISSING ENTIRE ACTION, WITH PREJUDICE	

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

JOHN DEMING, SR. and LINDA STASI, individually, and as successors-in-interest and wrongful death heirs to DECEDENT JOHN DEMING, JR., and Defendants CITY OF PLEASANTON, DANIEL KUNKEL, ERIC GORA, and MARK SHELDON (hereafter collectively the "parties"), by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate and jointly request an Order from the Court to a dismissal of the Plaintiffs' Complaint, the entire action, against all Defendants, with prejudice. Each party agrees to bear its own fees and costs as to this dismissal.

The parties attest that concurrence in the filing of these documents has been obtained from each of the other Signatories, which shall serve in lieu of their signatures on the document.

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

DATED: January 19, 2018 GERAGOS & GERAGOS, APC

> By: /s/ Mark J. Geragos MARK GERAGOS / BEN J. MEISELAS Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DATED: January 19, 2018 McNamara, Ney, Beatty, Slattery, **BORGES & AMBACHER LLP**

> By: /s/ Noah G. Blechman NOAH G. BLECHMAN Attorneys for Defendants

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

The Court hereby dismisses this entire action, with prejudice, as to all claims alleged by Plaintiffs. Each party to bear its own fees and costs.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: January 22

Hon. Charles R. Breyer, U.S. Senior District Judge